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MR. CHAIRMAN: Next up is a public hearing for IV2 Rockland Logistics, LLC for their draft environmental impact statement. You want to come up, Mr. Chafizadeh?

MR. CHAFIZADEH: Sure.
Good evening. My name is
Darius Chafizadeh, an attorney at Harris Beach Law Firm, here for the owner and developer IV Logistics, Rockland Logistics.

This is the DEIS public
hearing. As you know, we have been working with your team of planners and experts on finalizing the DEIS. It was before your board last month and it was deemed complete for public comment. Since that time we have sent out all the required notices to the various interested and involved agencies. We've also sent it out to the -- prior bulletins to the Department of Environmental

Conservation.
So we wanted to walk you through, just quickly, for the public, if anyone's here and hasn't seen the project before -- $I$ know the Board has, or at least most of you have -- just a quick little proposal of what we're proposing for the project.

We'll have Ryan McDermott from Dynamic Engineering here. We do have our team herefrom the owner, and also from our planner if there's any questions.

Our plan tonight, we have a stenographer here taking down everything; we'll provide a copy to the Village once it's complete.

But it's not to try to go back and forth with the public on questions and answers but to get all the questions down on the steno and then try to respond to those in the FEIS, working with your planners
during this hearing, if that's okay with the Board.

MR. CHAIRMAN: Perfect. Thank yOu.

MR. CHAFIZADEH: Great.
Ryan?
MR. MCDERMOTT: Thank you, Darius.

Again, for the record, my name is Ryan McDermott, M-C-D-E-R-M-O-T-T, civil engineering consultant on behalf of the Applicant with Dynamic Engineering.

The plan you see before you, this is a variation of what this board has seen before, just updated with the latest and greatest plan set. It's a colorized version of the site plan sheet and overall site plan rendering, colorized with proposed landscaping so you can kind of see the differential on the larger sites and point out, with black-and-white lines, what's going on. So this is,
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you know, a more clear depiction of what we're proposing.

So as you heard from Darius, the Applicant has submitted a draft environmental impact statement for the proposed redevelopment of a warehousing and logistics center on the site which was formally occupied by the Novartis manufacturing facility on Old Mill Road. That DEIS has been submitted and reviewed by the Board's professionals and revised to address comments from the Board's professionals.

So we are here tonight to get comments from the public, take inventory of what those comments and concerns might be, and then work towards a final EIS wherein all feedback will be thoroughly assessed and addressed.

The project consists of demolishing the existing complex and constructing three class $A$ modern
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warehouse distribution facilities consisting of a total gross square area of approximately 1.2 million square feet. Additional improvements will include loading bays for each of those buildings, trailer storage spaces, lighting, landscaping, utilities, storm water management facilities, driveways, parking, et cetera.

The site is located within the PLI - planned light industrial -zoning district, where the use is permitted as of right. A special use permit will be required from the Planning Board for disturbance within a portion of the site which lies in the Suffern floodplain overlay zoning district.

Furthermore, a portion of the site is located within the Village of Montebello, off to the east side, which is the right side of this plan. However, we are not proposing any
buildings for site improvements within the Village of Montebello.

Access to the site is currently provided via a full-movement driveway at the southern end of the site along Hemion Road, if you follow my finger right here, and a full-movement driveway at the northern end of the site along Old Mill Road, which eventually connects out to Hemion here. It is proposed to maintain both of those driveways and add a second full-movement driveway on Old Mill Road.

It is important to note that recently, Old Mill Road was owned by the New York State Thruway Authority. However, as recently as this week, the applicant has closed on Old Mill Road and now owns the roadway. It's the intent to continue using Old Mill Road for our primary access point, as the applicant's predecessors on this site have for decades.

That concludes a brief overview of the site and what we're proposing.

Again, this truly has remained largely the same as the Board has seen it presented over the past several months, but we did want to provide a brief summary for the public that might be here with us tonight. Thank you.

MR. CHAIRMAN: Thank you.
Can we have the representatives from Nelson Pope, if you could, make your comments? And then we'll open up the public hearing.

MR. CHAFIZADEH: All Of this is available. We're moving it to the side so you can see.

MR. BRADY: All right. Thank you very much.

My name's Bill Brady, again, with Nelson Pope Voorhis, the Town's planning consultant on this matter.

And just to refresh everyone, the public comment period is going to
be open until May 8 th . So again, the public, if they're not speaking here tonight, they still have that time to submit comments to the village, and those will be accepted as well.

And again, the Planning Board is more than welcome to submit comments as well, on the matter.

So thank you very much, and we will help to answer any questions today as things come up. Thanks.

MR. MAGRINO: I'm sorry, Bill,
I know we had this discussion.
Obviously, the public hearing is taking place tonight.

MR. BRADY: Right.
MR. MAGRINO: Your
recommendation is the public hearing be closed, but the public comments will still be open --

MR. BRADY: That's right.
MR. MAGRINO: -- until the 8 th , and then we'll go from there in terms of the EIS, and have the
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applicants -- those issues raised there.

MR. BRADY: Right. Thank you, thank you very much.

MR. CHAIRMAN: Can $I$ have a motion to open the public portion of the hearing?

MR. HALPER: I'll make a motion.

MR. CHAIRMAN: Motion by
Mr. Halper.
Do I have a second?
MR. McINERNEY: I'll second.
MR. CHAIRMAN: Second
Mr. McInerney.
All in favor, say "aye."
(A chorus of "ayes.")
MR. CHAIRMAN: The motion carries.

Are there any members of the public who would like to speak? Please come up to the podium and state your name and address for the record.

MR. GIANNETTINO: Jim Giannettino.

My only question is, are the planners satisfied with the plan as it sits? Not substantive

MR. BRADY: We're reviewing it right now.

MR. GIANNETTINO: ExCuse me?
MR. BRADY: It's under review right now with our staff.

MR. GIANNETTINO: So you haven't made a final decision?

MR. BRADY: Exactly. It's - -
MR. GIANNETTINO: Okay. Thank you.

All $I$ can say, $I$ was a member of this board for a long time. This is a professional company. They've done everything $I$ had asked them when I was chairman, and I'm glad to see they got Old Mill Road and they're on their way. And $I$ wish them luck.

MR. CHAIRMAN: Thank You.
Any other members of the
public?
Again, please state your name and address for the record.

MS. WOOTERS: My name is
Patricia Wooters - that's
W-O-O-T-E-R-S -- and $I$ welcome this project to the Village of Suffern as a resident and taxpayer. And in looking at it, $I$ have two small requests:

The executive summary reports the potential impact of losing 534 trees that are 12 inches in diameter or more, and it would be 534 trees planted, which is wonderful.

And $I$ understand they will not be planting trees of equivalent size -- that would be ridiculous - but I'm asking that the oak tulip tree forest be replanted with similar trees that are already found there: American beech, red maple, red oak, tulip oak, black oak, white oak. I
get this from the draft DEIS that I read. And there are suitable plantings to recreate the red maple hardwood swamp and floodplain forest, similar trees.

But what I'm asking is that the replacement trees not be ornamental cherry trees, pollard, pollard oak, you know, pear trees, but actually trees that will eventually provide shade; I'm looking for shade.

I'm looking at climate change, and $I$ know you are as well. I can see that from what you said, and that's wonderful. The Village will be losing mitigation from climate change by expanding the building environmental footprint, so this is important that as many - as many acres of forest shade can be replaced over the long-term. I know it takes time for trees to grow.

And the other request that $I$
had, $I$ salute the fact that the
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buildings will have white covering on top, which $I$ don't know if that may be code here in Suffern, $I$ don't know. But I'm asking, in addition, that the roadways be coated with reflective paint to -- again, to mitigate against the enlarged footprint and coming climate change. Thank you.

MR. CHAIRMAN: Thank you.
Any other members of the public who would like to speak?

Now, Mr. Millman, Mayor Millman
from Montebello, we do have the letter that was dropped off yesterday afternoon. I have read it. We haven't had time to discuss it, but by all means, feel free to make your comments.

MAYOR MILLMAN: Okay. So once again, my name is Lance Millman. I'm the mayor of the Village of Montebello. We have been involved in certain aspects of this project.
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I'm here tonight with Richard D'Andrea, our Village traffic consultant from Colliers Engineering \& Design. I'm going to let Mr. D'Andrea talk first, and if the board will let me finish off with my comments afterwards.

MR. CHAIRMAN: Absolutely. Go ahead.

MAYOR MILIMAN: Okay. Thank you.

MR. D'ANDREA: Good evening.
Rich D'Andrea, Colliers Engineering \& Design.

You mentioned that you had our letter that was submitted to you. It was dated -- I think it was April 12 th was the date or -- is that -- no, it was the $21 s t$, sorry.

And, you know, I'm not going to go through every point that's in there because we did submit that in writing, and we would expect that would be addressed as part of the

FEIS, but there are some bigger items that $I$ think we would just like to highlight for you.

There were a couple of things in the scoping document that we didn't see, that were fully addressed. Maybe we missed them; it is a large document. So there's a couple of things in there that you'll see.

Getting more into the technical things, you know, we had some questions about the distributions of traffic, especially to and from the thruway. They're only showing ten percent of their traffic to and from there. We think that is a low
percentage and maybe that needs to be adjusted a little bit to know what the true impacts are.

We did question the build year that was utilized in the traffic analysis. They used a build year of 2024. That seems significantly

HEARING
aggressive, especially since they're showing 22 months - I think it's 22 months -- construction timeframe at this point. Considering where we are in 2023 , 2024 seems like an aggressive build year. So that may need to be adjusted and re-analyzed a little bit.

We do have significant concerns about -- basically, the mitigation measures they're proposing at 59 Hemion and 59 Airmont Road are basically timing changes. There's some other modifications to the lll.F. 45 Hemion intersection to increase storage length.

The timing changes, we have significant concerns about whether or not those are realistic, whether they can be implemented. We really think DOT input is necessary to know that these can be made, and that we haven't seen yet.

We continue to have concerns
about the left turn from 59 onto
Airmont Road. Everybody knows that that is a queuing issue today. lll.F.46 You're going to add more vehicles, more trucks to that left turn with this project. So that is something that, again, needs Department of Transportation input on.

Again, going back to the intersection of 59 and Hemion, those -- they're proposing to extend the storage length of left turn lanes there. We would like to see how they're going to accomplish that.

They say it's going to be re-striping only. I'm not clear that that's -that it's just re-striping that gets that done. We would like to see a full plan in conceptual form that shows that. III.F. 47

I think, sight distances along Hemion Road for both the access points at Hemion need to be looked at. Those weren't addressed in the
study. I think those are critical, especially for trucks coming in and out of the site.
III.F. 48

And then the DEIS appendix does show a plan with truck-turning maneuvers for the southerly access driveway. It shows what appears to be significant improvements along that southerly access driveway and at the access driveway at Hemion Road. I don't see those mentioned anywhere in the study. I think the presentation tonight indicated that there are no improvements in the Village of Montebello, that although it's a County roadway on Hemion, it is within the Village, and there's other -- if they are improving that driveway, those would be in the Village of Montebello.
III.F. 49

A couple of other things:
There was a separate analysis done considering potentially higher traffic generation, which was
something that we'd actually requested earlier on.

You know, one of the things we have done in the past and the state has done in the past is a - what's called a post-monitoring study, that is done after occupancy of the development, to assess what the real traffic generation is after the project's been occupied. As part of that, you would assess specific items which they identified in the study that could need potential
improvements after the fact that may need to be made. That could be something that is done here as part of any approvals, and $I$ think it is warranted, especially with some of the things that they've identified in the study for that condition.lll.F. 50 And then we had made several other comments about just the construction traffic analysis. They are saying they're going to bring in
a lot of fill material to the project to make this work. There's some assumptions in there that we didn't see clearly identified, how they got to that analysis; we would like those clarified.

And there was no real discussion about the actual construction-worker traffic in there. When you look at the timeframe of construction-worker traffic coming in and out of the site, especially leaving the site, it's going to be pretty much coincident with the peak hour they identified for the P.M. peak hour, which is 3:15 to 4:15. So we think that we may need additional analysis there that needs to be looked at to make sure that there's no impacts from that end of things. We understand that it's temporary, but maybe the timing changes that they're recommending, if they can be implemented, can be done
before the construction starts in full order, so...

And then lastly, $I$ would just mention that if there are \|ll.F.52 improvements along that southerly access route on Hemion, we wouldn't expect that they would have to come to the Village of Montebello Planning Board for those improvements. So at some point in the future we may have, you know, further review upon that portion of the project.

That's all $I$ have for now, and I'll hand it back to the Mayor.

MAYOR MILLMAN: Thank you.
First, $I$ just wanted to say
that the Village of Montebello is
thrilled with the new owners of the property. We know they're an extremely reputable company and they will do everything possible, and have been as transparent as possible with what they're doing to both Suffern and to Montebello. And we applaud
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that, that both Suffern and Montebello have been involved. Granted, the majority of -- I mean, all of -- the Villages in Suffern. And we know that's in good hands with your board, sir.

Where we've been involved even before this is that when the first traffic study came out, we actually hired Colliers to review it immediately, even before we were involved, and we sent it over to, $I$ think, both the Planning Board and to the applicant, and they did take a bunch of suggestions from there.

But the main concern of Montebello since day one is the traffic, the flow of the traffic, the anticipated -- and $I$ know it's not 225 trucks a day -- the anticipation of 18-wheelers. And it's not just the anticipation of originally going through Montebello but the anticipation of going on a county
road, to a state road, to another state road, to parts of the Town of Ramapo and Airmont, where I've lived in my village for over 26 years. And all the improvements that have been said to occur to Airmont Road haven't really occurred, and everybody's really aware of that.

I think the biggest improvement was they added soft-noise controls over by the railroad tracks, so that would be - so that would lessen the sound.

We know that this is a major project and that it is good for the Village of Suffern, Town of Ramapo, Village of Montebello, the County, and everybody else involved. There will be anticipated, we were told -and I don't know if it's an exact number - - 1,500 cars of employees, not coming at the same time, but coming into the area.

In reviewing with our traffic
consultant, and reading and having discussions, we are still extremely concerned about Hemion Road and Montebello Road, Hemion Road and Route 59, Route 59 and Airmont, the thruway exits, the potential cars that it's our understanding, and $I$ think the applicant has agreed and the County has agreed, in theory, that trucks would be right-turn only, so there won't be any left turns going into Montebello onto roads that cannot hold those sized trucks.

But there still could be hundreds of employees coming through the Montebello area, passing the middle school that involves the students of Suffern, Montebello, Town of Ramapo, Airmont, all the areas. And the buses are coming all throughout different parts of the day.

There is one part where, in theory -- and $I$ understand that from
a - - I guess, a logistics engineering point of view, the Hemion Road and Montebello Road, with an all-way stop, sounds nice in theory, but you have a road that's coming up hills from a winding road, in front of a middle school, across from another complex, that it would be almost impossible to do anything like that. And the widening of the road with the thruway overpass over there is not something that should ever be, you know, considered.

We've had some concerns that they spoke about making -- I think it's called the storage part of the read on Hemion Road, you know, for the left turns. There's Indian Rock Shopping Center there, and there's the Indian Rock community of housing that's there. If that is extended backwards, and 18-wheelers are going to be sitting there until they can make the turn, the visibility out of
the Indian Rock Shopping Center is going to be hindered. And $I$ don't even know if it's going to go as far back as the Indian Rock Shopping Center; that's why our engineer is asking for expanding views of the plan in doing this.

So once again, our main concern -- and it's not just the Village of Montebello. I mean, Airmont and 59 affects everybody in this room and everybody surrounding it.

And Hemion Road to Route 59 is a main road that leads across the street to Good Sam Hospital, where emergency vehicles may need to come through. The last thing we need is the potential of having 18 -wheelers blocking the way, and having to go around.

I actually had a resident of Suffern who thow called me theI.F. 55 other day, said, "I saw 18-wheelers
turning from Hemion onto Route 59 and they couldn't make the turn. And everybody knows they're going to have to do something with the turn radius. They had to go up on the sidewalk to make the turn." Well, that's not acceptable to anybody.

So $I$ just wanted to reiterate the importance of this aspect of this project. We in no way want the project to be stopped; we think it is good for everybody. But we don't want to become the Rockland County hub being surrounded by 18-wheelers coming in many directions.

Even across Village Hall, we have another project that's been approved light industrial, that $I$ think is going to have anywhere from 25 to 50 more 18 -wheelers.

And then there's the expansion of Raymour \& Flanigan, okay, which is also going to be utilizing their train -- you know -- tracks coming
across.
So as my village attorney said to me, this isn't just a moment in time; this is a moment in the future that everybody has to take into consideration, this traffic flow and the impact it will have on the entire area. And that is why $I$ am here tonight.

And $I$ know you know it's
important to us, and we know that you're very important to this whole project. And we want you to carefully consider to make sure that these answers are given so that everybody's satisfied.

III .F. 56
The changing of the timing of the lights, never gonna do it. I mean, it won't change anything. If you were coming on Route 59 to Airmont Road during parts of the day, it's 50 cars backed up already. So we'll add a lane with a couple of 18-wheelers or something?
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III.F. 57

And last thing $I$ have to say is that they're going to have to take over -- if they're going to widen part of the road, take over parts of businesses and properties not only by us, Hemion and 59, but Airmont Road with Airmont, the Town of Ramapo.

So I thank you for listening to us tonight. I know you know this is extremely important.

We are, once again, extremely happy with the new applicants, you know, in this type of property. But everything has to be done to the satisfaction of not just today's living, of the living in the next 25 years, 50 years, what the impact will be.

I thank you very much.
MR. CHAIRMAN: Thank you for your comments. And just so you know, we do take it very seriously. I got this yesterday.

And as Mr. Giannettino said,

HEARING
the applicant's been very responsive to suggestions and things of that nature. I believe that you are an involved agency.

MAYOR MILLMAN: We are now.
MR. CHAIRMAN: Were you here
last year?
MAYOR MILIMAN: No -- yes, yes.
MR. CHAIRMAN: Okay. So, I
mean, we look forward to working -we want to be good neighbors. I believe that you know Rockland Logistics wants to be a good neighbor. So we look forward to working with you and, you know, transparency.

And you're right, this is a long-term impact, and we do look forward to coming up with, hopefully, a suitable solution if we get to that point.

MAYOR MILLMAN: I thank you again, Mr. Chairman, and your board. Thank you so much.
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MR. CHAIRMAN: Thank You.
Is there anybody else, any
other members of the public who would like to speak?

Do I have motion to close the public portion of this hearing?

MR. DALE: I make a motion.
MR. CHAIRMAN: Motion by Mr. Dale.

Do I have a second?
MR. MCINERNEY: I'll second
that.
MR. CHAIRMAN: Second
McInerney. All in favor, say "aye."
(A chorus of "ayes.")
MR. CHAIRMAN: The motion carries.

Do you have any responses -does the applicant have any responses to any of the comments, that you'd like to share?

MR. CHAFIZADEH: I don't think we want to get into details right now; we will respond to them in the
draft FEIS and get it to Nelson Pope for their review.

But, you know, I don't know want to get into a back-and-forth with things, but we hear your comments, we got the letter, we are reviewing with our traffic consultant, and we will be, you know, responsive.

Thank you for your comments.
MR. CHAIRMAN: Thank you.
And, you know, we are accepting public comments until May the 8 th, so, you know, this is not done; it's not over.

And this is a DEIS, it's a draft environmental impact statement. It belongs to the applicant. You know, with the review process, anything like that, we will work with all the stakeholders -- and again, they've been very responsive - and we'll go from there.

This is not done yet by any
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stretch of the imagination; we're just getting started.

MR. CHAFIZADEH: Thank You,
Mr. Chairman.
MR. CHAIRMAN: Thank you.
Any members of the board have any questions, comments?

MR. MAGRINO: Okay. So again, procedurally, we mentioned that at this point it would be appropriate to entertain a motion to close the public hearing on the DEIS.

And again, as the Chairman
mentioned, the public comments will be held open until the 8th, and then we'll proceed from there with addressing the comments.

So, again, unless anybody has any questions or comments, at this point it would be appropriate to make a motion to close the public hearing.

MR. CHAIRMAN: DO I have a motion to close the public hearing?

MR. MCINERNEY: I'll make that
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motion.
MR. CHAIRMAN: Motion
Mr. McInerney.
Do I have second?
MR. VANSICKLE: I'll second.
MR. CHAIRMAN: Second Mr. Vansickle.

All in favor, say "aye."
(A chorus of "ayes.")
MR. CHAIRMAN: Opposed?
The motion carries. Thank you.
MR. CHAFIZADEH: Thank you.
(Time noted: 7:34 P.M.)
-
$\circ$
-
。
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STATE OF NEW YORK )

COUNTY OF WESTCHESTER )

I, NATHAN DAVIS, a Notary Public for and within the State of New York, do hereby certify:

That the witness whose examination is hereinbefore set forth was duly sworn and that such examination is a true record of the testimony given by that witness.

I further certify that $I$ am not related to any of the parties to this action by blood or by marriage and that $I$ am in no way interested in the outcome of this matter.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 4 th day of May 2023 .


NATHAN DAVIS
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| b | bring 20:25 | 32:2,9,14,17 | coming 14:9 |
|  | build 16:22,24 | 33:12 34:5,6 | 9:3 21:12 |
| back 3:20 | 17:7 | 34:14,23 35:3 | 24:23,24 25:16 |
| $18: 10 \text { 22:15 }$ | building 13:18 | 35:7,11 | 25:21 26:6 |
| 27:5 33:5 | buildings 6:7 | change 13:13 | 28:16,25 29:21 |
| backed 29:23 | 7:2 14:2 | 13:18 14:9 | 31:20 |
| backwards | bulletins 2:24 <br> bunch 23:16 | $\begin{array}{cc} 29: 20 \\ \text { changes } & 17: 14 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { comment } 2: 20 \\ & 8: 25 \end{aligned}$ |
| basically | buses 25:21 | 17:18 21:24 | comments 5:14 |
| 17:14 | businesses 30:6 | changing 29:18 | 5:17,18 8:14 |
| ays | byrnes 1:12 | cherry 13:9 | 9:5,9,20 14:20 |
| beach 2:11 | c | chorus 10:18 | 5:8 20:23 |
| beech 12:24 | c $4: 1136: 2,2$ | 32:16 35:10 | 30:22 32:21 |
| behalf $4: 13$ | called 20:7 | civil 4:12 | 33:7,11,14 |
| believe 31:4,13 | 26:17 27:24 | clarified 21:7 | 34:8,15,18,20 |
| belongs 33:19 | carefully 29:15 | class 5:25 | community |
| bigger 16:2 | carries 10:20 | clear 5:2 18:17 | 26:21 |
| biggest 24:10 | 32:18 35:12 | clearly 21:5 | company 11:19 |
| bill 8:21 9:13 | cars 24:22 25:7 | climate 13:13 | 22:21 |
| bit 16:20 17:9 | 29:23 | 13:17 14:9 | complete 2:19 |
| black 4:24 | center 5:8 | close 32: | 3:19 |
| 12:25 | 26:20 27:2,6 | 34:12,22,24 |  |


| complex $5: 24$ $26: 9$ conceptual $18: 20$ concern $23: 17$ $27: 10$ concerned $25: 4$ concerns $5: 19$ $17: 10,19,25$ $26: 15$ concludes $8: 2$ |  | demolishing $5: 24$ <br> department 2:25 18:8 <br> depiction 5:2 <br> design 15:5,15 <br> details 32:24 <br> developer 2:12 <br> development $20: 9$ <br> diameter 12:15 | ```draft 2:4 5:5 13:2 33:2,18 driveway 7:5,9 7:14 19:8,10 19:11,20 driveways 6:10 7:13 dropped 14:16 duly 36:12 dynamic 3:12 4:13``` |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | d | differential | e 1.9, 4.11 |
| ```conservation 3:2 consider 29:15 consideration 29:7 considered 26:14 considering 17:5 19:24 consisting 6:3 consists 5:23 constructing 5:25 construction 17:4 20:24 21:10,12 22:2 consultant 4:12 8:23 15:4 25:2 33:9 continue 7:22 17:25``` | $\begin{array}{\|lll} \hline \text { d } 4: 11 \\ \text { d'andrea } & 15: 3 \\ \text { 15:6,13,14 } \\ \text { dale } & 1: 13 & 32: 8 \\ 32: 10 & \\ \text { daniel } \quad 1: 15 \\ \text { darius } & 2: 10 & 4: 9 \\ 5: 4 & \\ \text { date } & 15: 19 \\ \text { dated } \quad 15: 18 \\ \text { davis } & 1: 19 & 36: 8 \\ 36: 24 & \\ \text { day } 23: 18,21 \\ 25: 23 & 27: 25 \\ 29: 22 & 36: 21 \\ \text { decades } 7: 25 \\ \text { decision } & 11: 13 \\ \text { deemed } & 2: 19 \\ \text { deis } 2: 14,17 \\ 5: 11 & 13: 2 & 19: 5 \\ 33: 17 & 34: 13 \end{array}$ | directions $28: 16$ <br> discuss 14:18 <br> discussion 9:14 21:9 <br> discussions $25: 3$ <br> distances 18:22 <br> distribution <br> 6:2 <br> distributions <br> 16:14 <br> district 6:14,20 <br> disturbance <br> 6:17 <br> document 16:6 16:9 <br> doing 22:24 27:8 <br> dot 17:22 | ```12:7 36:2,2 earlier 20:3 east 6:23 eis 5:20 9:25 emergency 27:18 employees 24:22 25:16 engineer 27:6 engineering 3:12 4:12,14 15:4,14 26:2 enlarged 14:8 entertain 34:12 entire 29:8 environmental 2:5,25 5:6 13:19 33:18 equivalent 12:19 especially 16:15 17:2``` |



| 25:1 26:1 | i | indian 26:19,21 | 23:6,20 24:1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| :1 28:1 29:1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { identified } \\ & 20: 13,2021: 5 \\ & 21: 16 \\ & \text { imagination } \\ & 34: 2 \\ & \text { immediately } \\ & 23: 12 \end{aligned}$ | 27:2,5 | 24:21 26:14,18 |
| 30:1 31:1 32:1 |  | indicated 19:14 | 27:4,24 28:25 |
| 32:7 33:1 34:1 |  | industrial 6:13 | 29:11,11,12 |
| 34:13,22,24 |  | 28:19 | 30:10,10,14,22 |
| 35:1 36:1 |  | input 17:2 | 31:13,16 33:4 |
| held 34:16 |  | 18:9 | 33:4,9,13,15,20 |
| help 9:11 |  | intent 7:2 | knows 18:3 |
| hemion 7:7,11 | $\text { impact } 2: 55: 6$ | interested 2:22 | 28:4 |
| 18:23,24 19:11 |  | intersection |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 12: 1329: 8 \\ & 30: 1831: 19 \\ & 33: 18 \end{aligned}$ |  | lance 14:22 |
| $19: 17$ 22:7 $25 \cdot 4,526 \cdot 3,18$ |  | 17:16 18:11 <br> inventory 5:18 | landscaping |
| $\begin{aligned} & 25: 4,5 \text { 26:3,18 } \\ & 27: 1528: 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} 33: 18 \\ \text { impacts } & 16: 21 \end{array}$ | involved 2:22 | 4:22 6:8 |
| 30:7 | $21: 21$ | 14:24 23:3, | lane 29:24 |
| hereinbefore | implemented | 23:13 24:19 | lanes 18:13 |
| $\begin{gathered} 36: 12 \\ \text { hereunto } \quad 36: 20 \end{gathered}$ | 17:21 21:25 | 31:5involves 25:18 | large 16:9 |
|  | importance $28: 10$ |  | larger 4:23 |
| higher 19:24 | important 7:16 | issue 18:4 | $\begin{array}{lll}\text { lastly } & 22: 4\end{array}$ |
| highlight 16:4 | 13:20 29:12,13 | ssues 10:2 | latest 4:18 |
| hills 26:6 | 30:11impossible | items 16:2 | law 2:11 |
| hindered 27:3 |  | 20:12 | leads 27:16 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { hired 23:11 } \\ & \text { hold } 25: 14 \end{aligned}$ | impossible | iv2 $2: 3$ | leaving 21:14 |
| hopefully 31:20 | improvement | iv2 2.3 | left 18:2,6,13 $25: 1226: 19$ |
| hour 21:16,17 | $24: 10$ <br> improvements | jim 11:2 | length 17:17 |
|  | 6:5 7:2 19:9,15 | k | 18:13 |
| housing 26:21 <br> hub 28:15 | $20: 15 \text { 22:6,10 }$ | kind 4:22 | lessen 24:13 |
|  | improving | know 2:15 3:6 | letter 14:16 |
| hudson 1: |  | 5:2 9:14 13:10 | 15:17 33:7 |
| ds | $\begin{array}{lc} \begin{array}{l} 19: 19 \\ \text { inches } \\ \text { include } \\ \text { increase } \end{array} & 6: 6 \\ \text { in:16 } \end{array}$ | 13:14,22 14:3 | lies 6:18 |
|  |  | $14: 5 \text { 15:21 }$ | light 6:13 28: |
|  |  | $16: 13,20 \quad 17: 22$ | lighting 6:8 |
|  |  | 20:4 22:12,20 | lights 29:19 |


| lines 4:25 | major 24:15 | measures 17:12 | 22:9,18,25 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| listening 30:9 | majority 23:4 | member 1:12 | 23:3,18,24 |
| little 3:8 16:20 | make 8:13 10:9 | 1:13,14,15,16 | 24:18 25:5,13 |
| 17:9 | 14:19 21:3,20 | 11:17 | 25:17,19 26:4 |
| lived 24:4 | 26:25 28:3,7 | members 10:21 | 27:11 |
| living 30:17,17 | 29:15 32:8 | 11:25 14:12 | month 2:18 |
| llc 2:4 | 34:21,25 | 32:4 34:7 | months 8:7 |
| loading 6:6 | making 26:16 | mention 22:5 | 17:3,4 |
| located 6:12,22 | management | mentioned | motion 10:7,10 |
| logistics 2:4,12 | 6:9 | 15:16 19:12 | 10:11,19 32:6 |
| 2:13 5:8 26:2 | maneuvers | 34:10,15 | 32:8,9,17 |
| 31:14 | 19:7 | middle 25:18 | 34:12,22,24 |
| long 11:18 | manufacturing | 26:8 | 35:2,3,12 |
| 13:22 31:19 | 5:10 | mill 5:117:10 | movement 7:5 |
| look 21:11 | maple 12:24 | 7:15,17,20,22 | 7:8,14 |
| 31:11,15,19 | 13:4 | 11:22 | moving 8:17 |
| looked 18:24 | marriage 36:17 | million 6:4 | n |
| 21:20 | material 21:2 | millman 14:14 | name 2:9 4:10 |
| looking 12:10 | matter 8:23 9:9 | 14:14,21,22 | $10: 24 \text { 12:3,5 }$ |
| 13:12,13 | 36:19 | 15:11 22:16 |  |
| losing 12:13 | mayor 14:14,21 | 31:6,9,23 | name's 8:21 |
| 13:17 | 14:23 15:11 | minutes 1:3 | nathan 1:19 |
| lot 21:2 | 22:15,16 31:6 | missed 16:8 | 36:8,24 |
| low 16:18 | 31:9,23 | mitigate 14:8 | nature 31:4 |
| luck 11:23 | mcdermott | mitigation | necessary |
| m | 3:11 4:8,11 | 13:17 17:11 | 17:22 |
| m 4:11,11 | mcinerney 1:15 | modern 5:25 | need 17:8 18:24 |
| made 11:13 | 10:14,16 32:12 | modifications | 20:14,16 21:18 |
| 17:23 20:16,22 | :15 34:25 | 17:15 | 27:18,19 |
| magrino 9:13 |  | moment 29:4 | needs 16:19 |
| 9:18,23 34:9 | mean 23:4 | monitoring | 18:8 21:19 |
| main 23:17 | 27:11 29:20 | 20:7 | neighbor 31:15 |
| $27: 9,16$ | 31:11 | montebello | neighbors |
| maintain 7:12 | means 14:19 | $\begin{aligned} & 6: 237: 3 \quad 14: 15 \\ & 14: 24 \quad 19: 16,21 \end{aligned}$ | 31:12 |


| elson 8:13,22 | $\begin{gathered} \text { once } 3: 1914: 21 \\ 27: 930: 12 \end{gathered}$ | past 8:6 20:5,6 <br> patricia 12:6 | points 18:24 <br> pollard 13:9,9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $33: 2$ |  |  |  |
| ver 29:19 | $\begin{array}{cc} \text { open } & 8: 149: 2 \\ 9: 21 & 10: 7 \end{array}$ | $\text { peak } 21: 15,17$pear 13:10 | $\begin{gathered} \text { pope } 8: 13,22 \\ 33: 2 \end{gathered}$ |
| w 1:2,6 7:18 |  |  |  |
| 22:19 30:13 | $\begin{gathered} 34: 16 \\ \text { opposed } \\ 35: 11 \end{gathered}$ | percent 16:17 percentage | $\begin{gathered} \text { portion 6:18,21 } \\ 10: 722: 13 \end{gathered}$ |
| 36:4,9 |  |  |  |
| nice 26:5 | order 22:3 | 16:19 | $32: 7$ <br> possible 22:22 |
| noise 24:11 | originall | perfect $4: 4$ |  |
| northern 7:9 | 23:23 | $\begin{array}{ll}\text { period } & 8: 25 \\ \text { permit } \\ 6: 16\end{array}$ | $22: 23$ |
| notary 36:8 | ornamental | permit 6:16 permitted 6:15 | post 20:7 <br> potential 12:13 |
| note 7:16 | 13:8 |  |  |
| noted 35:14 | outcome 36:18 | place 9:16 <br> plan 3:16 4:15 | $20: 14 \text { 25:7 }$ |
| notices 2:21 | overall 4:20 overlay 6:19 |  | 27:20 |
| novartis 5:10 |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { plan } 3: 164: 15 \\ 4: 18,20,20 \end{gathered}$ | potentially |
| number 24:22 | overpass 26:12 | 4:18,20,20 | 19:24 |
|  | overview 8:2 owned $7 \cdot 17$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 18:20 19:6 } \\ & 27: 8 \end{aligned}$ | predecessors$7: 24$ |
| ( $1: 94: 1112: 7$ | $\begin{array}{ll} \text { owned } & 7: 17 \\ \text { owner } & 2: 12 \end{array}$ |  |  |
| 12:7 |  | 27:8 <br> planned 6:13 | presentation |
| ak 12:21,2 | :13 | planner 3:14 | 19:14 presented 8:6 |
| 12:25,25,25 | owners 22 | planners 2:16 |  |
| .25,25,25 | owns 7:21 | planning 1:4 | pretty 21:15 |
| obviously 9: | p |  | primary 7:23 |
| occupancy 20:8 | $\begin{gathered} \text { p.m. } 1: 421: 17 \\ 35: 14 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6:17 8:23 9:7 } \\ & 22: 923: 14 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| occupied 5:9 |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { procedurally } \\ 34: 10 \end{gathered}$ |
| 0:11 | paint 14:7 <br> parking 6:10 | planting 12:19 | proceed 34:17 |
| occur 24:7 |  | plantings 13:4 |  |
| occurred 24:8 | part 15:25 | please 10:23 | process 33:20 |
| okay $4: 211: 15$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20: 11,17 \text { 25:24 } \\ & 26: 1730: 5 \end{aligned}$ | 12:3 | professional |
| 14:21 15:11 |  |  | 11:19 |
| 28:23 31:10 | parties 36:16 | pli 6:13 <br> podium 10:23 | professionals$5: 13,15$ |
| 34:9 | parts 24:3 | podium 10:23 |  |
| old 5:117:10 | 25:22 29:22 | point 4:24 7:23 | 5:23 12:8 |
| 7:15,17,20,22 | $\begin{array}{cc} 30: 5 \\ \text { passing } & 25: 17 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15: 22 \quad 17: 5 \\ & 22: 1126: 3 \\ & 31: 22 \text { 34:11,21 } \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 14: 25 \quad 18: 7 \\ & 21: 222: 13 \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  |  |



| S | shade 13:12,12 | solution 31:21 | 26:17 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S 12:7 | $3: 21$ | sorry 9:13 | storm 6:9 |
| salute 13:25 | share 32:22 | 15:20 | street 27:17 |
| sam 27:17 | sheet 4:20 | sound 24: | stretch 34:2 |
| satisfaction | shopping 26:20 | sounds 26 | striping 18:16 |
| 0:16 | 27:2,5 | southerly 19:7 | 18:18 |
| satisfied 11:5 | show 19:6 | 19:10 22:6 | students 25:19 |
| 29:17 | showing 16:16 | southern 7:6 | study 19:2,13 |
| saw 27:25 | 17:3 | spaces 6:8 | 20:7,13,21 |
| saying 20:25 | shows 18:21 | speak 10:22 | 23:10 |
| school 25:18 | 19:8 | 14:13 32:5 | submit 9:5,8 |
| 26:8 | side 6:23,24 | speaking 9:3 | $15: 23$ |
| scoping 16:6 | 8:18 <br> sidewalk 28:6 | special 6:15 <br> specific 20:12 | submitted 5:5 $5: 12 \text { 15:17 }$ |
| $\begin{array}{r} \text { second } 7: 14 \\ 10: 13,14,15 \end{array}$ | sight 18:22 | spoke 26:16 | $\text { suffern } \quad 1: 2,3,5$ |
| $32: 11,12,14$ | signature 36:23 | square 6:3,5 | 1:6 6:19 12:8 |
| 35:5,6,7 | significant | Ss 36:4 | 14:4 22:24 |
| see $4: 15,22$ | 17:10,19 19:9 | staff 11:11 | 23:2,5 24:17 |
| 8:18 11:21 | significantly | stakeholders | 25:19 27:24 |
| 13:15 16:7,11 | 6:25 | 33:22 | suggestions |
| 18:14,19 19:12 | similar 12:22 | started 34:3 | 23:16 31:3 |
| 21:5 | 13:6 | starts 22:2 | suitable 13:3 |
| seems 16:25 | sir 23:7 | state 1:2 7:18 | 31:21 |
| $17: 6$ | site 4:20,20 5:9 | 10:24 12:3 | summary 8:8 |
| seen 3:6 4:17 | 6:12,18,22 7:2 | 20:5 24:2,3 | 12:12 |
| 8:6 17:24 | 7:4,6,10,25 8:3 | 36:4,9 | sure 2:8 21:20 |
| $\text { sent } 2: 21,23$ | 19:4 21:13,14 | statement 2:5 | 29:15 |
| 23:13 | sites 4:23 | 5:6 33:18 | surrounded |
| separate 19:23 | sits 11:6 | steno 3:23 | 28:15 |
| seriously 30:23 | sitting 26:24 | stenographer | surrounding |
| set 4:19 36:12 | size 12:20 | 3:17 | 27:13 |
| 36:21 | sized 25:14 | stop 26:5 | swamp 13:5 |
| several 8:7 | small 12:10 | stopped 28:12 | sworn 36:12 |



| $\begin{array}{cc} \begin{array}{l} \text { views } \\ \text { village } \end{array} \quad 1: 2,2,3,5 \\ 3: 19 & 6: 22 \quad 7: 3 \\ 9: 5 & 12: 8 \quad 13: 16 \end{array}$ | ```week 7:19 welcome 9:8 12:7 westchester``` | $\begin{aligned} & \text { yesterday } \\ & 14: 1630: 24 \\ & \text { york } 1: 2,67: 18 \\ & 36: 4,9 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14:23 15:3 | 36:5 | z |
| 19:16,18,21 22:9,18 $24: 5$ | wheelers $23: 22$ 26:23 27:20,25 | zoning 6:14,19 |
| 24:17,18 27:11 | 28:15,21 29:25 |  |
| 28:17 29:3 | whereof 36:20 |  |
| villages 23:5 | white 4:24 |  |
| visibility 26:25 | 12:25 14:2 |  |
| voorhis 8:22 | widen 30:4 |  |
| w | widening 26:11 |  |
| w 12:7 | winding 26:7 |  |
| walk 3:3 | wish 11:23 |  |
| want 2:6 8:7 | witness 36:11 |  |
| 28:11,14 29:14 | 36:14,20 |  |
| 31:12 32:24 | wonderful |  |
| 33:5 | 12:17 13:16 |  |
| wanted 3:3 | wooters 12:5,6 |  |
| 22:17 28:9 | work 5:19 21:3 |  |
| wants 31:14 | 33:21 |  |
| warehouse 6:2 | worker 21:10 |  |
| warehousing | 21:12 |  |
| 5:8 | working 2:16 |  |
| warranted | 3:25 31:11,16 |  |
| $20: 19$ | writing 15:24 |  |
| washington 1:6 | $\mathbf{x}$ |  |
| water 6:9 | x 1:3,7 |  |
| way 11:23 26:4 | y |  |
| 7:21 28:11 | year 16:22,24 |  |
| 36:18 | 17:731:8 |  |
| we've 2:23 23:8 | years 24:5 |  |
| 26:15 | 30:18,18 |  |

